Claims 1-19 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-19 are rejected. Claims 1,

18 and 19 are currently amended.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103 (a)

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 7-11 and 15-16 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being

unpatentable over Calabrese '226 in view of McFarlane. Applicant respectfully traverses this

rejection.

Applicant has amended claim 1 to include the support plate positioned parallel to the

wearer's jawbone. Neither Calabrese nor McFarlane discloses this limitation. McFarlane's

vertical stay members are not analogous to Applicant's claimed parallel support members.

McFarlane's vertical stay members are positioned perpendicular to the wearer's jawbone, and

would create substantial pressure points if positioned under the jawbone. To the contrary,

Applicant's claimed parallel support members distribute forces along the mandible bone, thereby

reducing excessive pressure points. It is noted that by "parallel" it is meant that the support

extends no further in the vertical direction that the horizontal direction. McFarlane contemplates

positioning vertical stay members juxtaposed to one another to form support along a greater area

if desired. This would not be analogous to Applicant's claimed parallel support members as the

series of support members would be structurally inferior to Applicant's claimed plates due to

support members' movement with respect to each other. If Applicant's parallel support members

were obvious, McFarlane would have included supports of dimensions that would allow them to

be disposed parallel to the wearer's mandible. McFarlane clearly did not recognize this

5

PHDATA 1402035_2

U.S. Patent Application No.: 10/507,058

Filing Date: September 9, 2004

First Named Inventor: Salvatore Calabrese

advantage and discusses only variations in length of the supports (col. 2, lines 45-56).

Accordingly, Applicant's claimed invention is patentable over Calabrese in view of McFarlane.

Withdrawal of the §103 rejection of claims 1, 7-11 and 15-16 is, therefore, respectfully

requested.

The Examiner rejects claims 2-6, 12-14 and 17 as being unpatentable over Calabrese '226

in view of McFarlane, and further in view of Monfardini. The Examiner cites Monfardini as

disclosing support members that are rectangular, substantially flat, and have rounded corners.

Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Monfardini discloses elongation adjusting components 20 to adjust the relative position

of the upper and lower sections 18, 19, of the collar. (col. 2, lines 60-72). These components are

not analogous to Applicant's claimed lateral reinforcing support members. The claimed shape

and position of Applicant's support members are important to their support function, which

differs from Monfardini's adjustment function. The shape and position distribute forces along

the mandible bone in lateral locations. As Monfardin's components 20 serve a different

function, i.e. adjustment of the collar height, their shape does not anticipate Applicant's claimed

shape limitations.

Furthermore, Monfardini does not disclose flat plates with rounded corners. Monfardini

discloses rounded tops and bottoms. There is no radius disposed at the corners of Monfardini's

adjustment components. If Monfardini's components were placed parallel to the mandible, there

would be non-rounded edges directed toward the wearer's mandible. These edges would create

undesirable effects, such as irritation to the wearer. Applicant's rounded corners eliminate all

6

PHDATA 1402035_2

U.S. Patent Application No.: 10/507,058

Filing Date: September 9, 2004

First Named Inventor: Salvatore Calabrese

such edges. Accordingly, the combination of Calabrese, McFarlane and Monfardini does not

anticipate Applicant's invention as covered in claims 2-6, 12-14 and 17. Applicant therefore,

respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection.

The Examiner rejects claims 18 and 19 as being unpatentable over Calabrese in view of

McFarlane. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Applicant has amended claims 18 and 19 to include the limitation of the support member

being substantially parallel to the wearer's mandible. As noted above, McFarlane does not

disclose a support member positioned parallel to a wearer's jawbone. Claims 18 and 19 have

been amended to include this limitation, and therefore, the combination of Calabrese and

McFarlane does not render claims 18 and 19 unpatentable. Applicant, therefore, respectfully

requests withdrawal of the rejection of claims 18-19.

Information Disclosure Statement

Pursuant to Applicant's duty of disclosure, Applicant submits an International Search

Report dated March 2, 2004, and a European Search Report dated July 6, 2006. Authorization is

given to charge the \$180.00 associated fee for filing the Information Disclosure Statement to

Deposit Account No. 13-3405.

The Supplemental European Search Report identifies U.S. Patent 4,782,824 to Davies.

Davies is directed to a device for maintaining the upper airway passage of a patient in an open,

unobstructed position. Davies differs from the claimed invention in that it is a rigid device, in

contrast to Applicant's claimed collar front comprising a soft flexible material. As Davies'

7

PHDATA 1402035 2

U.S. Patent Application No.: 10/507,058

Filing Date: September 9, 2004

First Named Inventor: Salvatore Calabrese

device is rigid, there would be no need to add lateral support as claimed by Applicant.

Additionally, there is no motivation to modify Davies' device to create Applicant's claimed

invention, because it would render Davie's device unsuitable for its intended purpose of

maintaining the upper airway passage in an open, unobstructed position. There also would be no

motivation or suggestion to combine Davies with any of the other references cited by the

Examiner because Davies is directed to an apparatus that must provide complete rigid support to

the wearer's neck and mandible, whereas, the other cited references are directed to a flexible

collars.

Applicant also has listed additional patents of which Applicant is aware. Although these

references are relevant to the area of technology of the present Application, Applicant believes

they do not render Applicant's claimed invention unpatentable.

Conclusion

Based on the Amendments and Remarks above, Applicant respectfully requests

allowance of all pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS LLP

Dated: 2/6/06

By:

Took

Joan T. Kluger Reg. No. 38,940

1600 Market Street, Suite 3600

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Tel: (215) 751-2357

Fax: (215) 751-2205

E-mail: jkluger@schnader.com

Attorneys for Applicant

8

PHDATA 1402035 2